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# 1+3 approach provides a powerful toolbox....... 
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## Some notation

* From the time-like flow $u^{a}$ we construct the projection onto surfaces orthogonal to the flow: $h_{a b}=g_{a b}+u_{a} u_{b}$.
* Three-volume form: $\varepsilon_{a b c}=\eta_{a b c d} u^{d}$
* Covariant convective derivative on scalar: $\dot{f}=u^{a} \nabla_{a} f$.
* Spatial covariant derivative: $\nabla_{a} f=h^{b}{ }_{a} \nabla_{b} f$.
* Kinematics of $u^{a}$ gives geometry of congruence of flow lines.

$$
\nabla_{a} u_{b}=-u_{a} \dot{u}_{b}+\frac{1}{3} \Theta h_{a b}^{\text {acceleration }}+\stackrel{\sigma}{a b}_{\text {expansion }}^{\text {shear }} \omega_{a b}^{\text {vorticity }}
$$
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## Fourth order gravity

The class of models we will consider can be derived from the classical action:

$$
\mathcal{A}=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left[f(R)+\mathcal{L}_{m}\right]
$$

Varying the action with respect to the metric gives the following field equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime} G_{a b}=f^{\prime}\left(R_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} R\right) & =T_{a b}^{m}+\frac{1}{2} g_{a b}\left(R-R f^{\prime}\right)+\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} f^{\prime}-g_{a b} \nabla_{c} \nabla^{c} f^{\prime}, \\
G_{a b} & =\tilde{T}_{a b}^{m}+T_{a b}^{R}=T_{a b}^{t o t},
\end{aligned}
$$

This last step is extremely important as it allows us to treat 4th order gravity as standard GR in the presence of two effective fluids. It is this that makes using the covariant approach particularly straightforward.
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The energy-momentum tensor of the curvature "fluid" can be decomposed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{R}= & \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(R f^{\prime}-f\right)-\Theta f^{\prime \prime} \dot{R}+f^{\prime \prime} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} R+f^{\prime \prime} \dot{u}_{b} \tilde{\nabla} R\right] \\
p^{R}= & \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(f-R f^{\prime}\right)+f^{\prime \prime} \ddot{R}+3 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \dot{R}^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \Theta f^{\prime \prime} \dot{R}-\frac{2}{3} f^{\prime \prime} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} R+\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2}{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime} \tilde{\nabla}^{a} R \tilde{\nabla}_{a} R-\frac{1}{3} f^{\prime \prime} \dot{u}_{b} \tilde{\nabla} R\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note no background contribution.

$$
\pi_{a b}^{R}=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left[f^{\prime \prime} \tilde{\nabla}_{\langle a} \tilde{\nabla}_{b\rangle} R+f^{\prime \prime \prime} \tilde{\nabla}_{\langle a} R \tilde{\nabla}_{b\rangle} R+\sigma_{a b} \dot{R}\right] .
$$



## Linearisation

## Exact equations valid in any spacetime.

## Linearisation



## Linearisation



## Linearisation



## Linearisation



$$
\dot{\Theta}+\frac{1}{3} \Theta^{2}+\sigma_{a b} \sigma^{a b}-2 \omega_{a} \omega^{a}-\tilde{\nabla}^{a} \dot{u}_{a}+\dot{u}_{a} \dot{u}^{a}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu^{t o t}+3 p^{t o t}\right)=0
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Almost FRW model.

## The linear gravitational equations

Propagation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\Theta}+\frac{1}{3} \Theta^{2}-\tilde{\nabla}^{a} A_{a}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mu}^{m}+3 \tilde{p}^{m}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu^{R}+3 p^{R}\right) \\
& \dot{\omega}_{a}+2 H \omega_{a}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curl} A_{a}=0, \\
& \dot{\sigma}_{a b}+2 H \sigma_{a b}+E_{a b}-\tilde{\nabla}_{\langle a} A_{b\rangle}=-q_{a}^{R}, \\
& \dot{E}_{a b}+3 H E_{a b}-\operatorname{curl} H_{a b}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mu}^{m}+\tilde{p}^{m}\right) \sigma_{a b} \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu^{R}+p^{R}\right) \sigma_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} \dot{\pi}_{\langle a b\rangle}^{R}-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}_{\langle a} q_{b\rangle}^{R}-\frac{1}{6} \Theta \pi_{a b}^{R}, \\
& \dot{H}_{a b}+3 H H_{a b}+\operatorname{curl} E_{a b}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curl} \pi_{a b}^{R}, \\
& \tilde{\nabla}^{b} \sigma_{a b}-\operatorname{curl} \omega_{a}-\frac{2}{3} \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \Theta=-q_{a}^{R} \\
& \operatorname{curl} \sigma_{a b}+\tilde{\nabla}_{\langle a} \omega_{b\rangle}-H_{a b}=0, \\
& \tilde{\nabla}^{b} E_{a b}-\frac{1}{3} \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \tilde{\mu}^{m}=-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^{b} \pi_{a b}^{R}+\frac{1}{3} \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \mu^{R}-\frac{1}{3} \Theta q_{a}^{R} \\
& \tilde{\nabla}^{b} H_{a b}-\left(\tilde{\mu}^{m}+\tilde{p}^{m}\right) \omega_{a}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curl} q_{a}^{R}+\left(\mu^{R}+p^{R}\right) \omega_{a}, \\
& \tilde{\nabla}^{a} \omega_{a}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$



## The linear conservation equations

The Bianchi identities: $\left\{\tilde{T}_{a b}^{M ; b}=\frac{T_{a b}^{m ; b}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime 2}} T_{a b}^{m} R^{; b}\right.$,
$T_{a b}^{R ; b}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime 2}} \tilde{T}_{a b}^{M} R^{; b}$,
Matter $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\dot{\mu}^{m}=-\Theta\left(\mu^{m}+p^{m}\right), \\ \tilde{\nabla}^{a} p^{m}=-\left(\mu^{m}+p^{m}\right) \dot{u}^{a},\end{array}\right.$
Curvature $\left\{\dot{\mu}^{R}+\tilde{\nabla}^{a} q_{a}^{R}=-\Theta\left(\mu^{R}+p^{R}\right)+\mu^{m} \frac{f^{\prime \prime} \dot{R}}{f^{\prime 2}}\right.$,

$$
\dot{q}_{\langle a\rangle}^{R}+\tilde{\nabla}_{a} p^{R}+\tilde{\nabla}^{b} \pi_{a b}^{R}=-\frac{4}{3} \Theta q_{a}^{R}-\left(\mu^{R}+p^{R}\right) \dot{u}_{a}+\mu^{m} \frac{f^{\prime \prime} \tilde{\nabla}_{a} R}{f^{\prime 2}},
$$



## Perturbation variables

The natural set of inhomogeneity variables are:

$$
\Delta_{a}^{m}=\frac{S^{2}}{\mu^{m}} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \mu^{m}, \quad Z=S^{2} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \Theta, \quad C=S^{3} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \tilde{R},
$$

together with: $\mathcal{R}=S^{2} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} R, \quad \Re=S^{2} \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \dot{R}$,

$$
S \tilde{\nabla}_{a} \mathcal{D}_{b}^{m}=\Sigma_{a b}^{m}+W_{a b}^{m}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta_{m} h_{a b}
$$

Spherical clumping


## Perturbation equations

Scalar perturbations governed by the 4th order system:
$\dot{\Delta}_{m}=w \Theta \Delta_{m}-(1+w) Z$,
$\dot{Z}=\left(\frac{\dot{R} f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{2 \Theta}{3}\right) Z+\left[\frac{3(w-1)(3 w+2)}{6(w+1)} \frac{\mu}{f^{\prime}}+\frac{2 w \Theta^{2}+3 w\left(\mu^{R}+3 p^{R}\right)}{6(w+1)}\right] \Delta_{m}+\frac{\Theta f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} \Re$
$+\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{f^{\prime}} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} \frac{\mu}{f^{\prime}}+\dot{R} \Theta\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\dot{R} \Theta \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime}}\right] \mathcal{R}-\frac{w}{w+1} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \Delta_{m}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \mathcal{R}$,
$\dot{\mathcal{R}}=\Re-\frac{w}{w+1} \dot{R} \Delta_{m}$,
$\dot{\Re}=-\left(\Theta+2 \dot{R} \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}}\right) \Re-\dot{R} Z-\left[\frac{(3 w-1)}{3} \frac{\mu}{f^{\prime \prime}}+3 \frac{w}{w+1}\left(p^{R}+\mu^{R}\right) \frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{w}{3(w+1)} \dot{R}\left(\Theta-3 \dot{R} \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right] \Delta_{m}$
$+\left[2 \frac{K}{S^{2}}-\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{f^{(4)}}{f^{\prime}} \dot{R}^{2}+\Theta \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime}} \dot{R}-\frac{2}{9} \Theta^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\mu^{R}+3 p^{R}\right)+\ddot{R} \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{1}{6} \frac{f}{f^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2}(w+1) \frac{\mu}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{R} \Theta \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)\right] \mathcal{R}+\tilde{\nabla}^{2} \mathcal{R}$,
$\frac{C}{S^{2}}+\left(\frac{4}{3} \Theta+\frac{2 \dot{R} f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right) Z-2 \frac{\mu}{f^{\prime}} \Delta_{m}+\left[2 \dot{R} \Theta \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\left(f-2 \mu+2 \dot{R} \Theta f^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] \mathcal{R}+\frac{2 \Theta f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} \Re-\frac{2 f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \mathcal{R}=0$.


## But how do we solve these equations......

## A simple example: $R^{n}$ gravity

| Point | Coordinates ( $x, y, z$ ) | Scale Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{A}$ | [0, 0, 0] | $a=a_{0}\left(t-t_{0}\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{B}$ | [-1, 0, 0] | $a=a_{0}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}$ (only for $\left.n=3 / 2\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{C}$ | $\left[\frac{2(n-2)}{2 n-1}, \frac{4 n-5}{2 n-1}, 0\right]$ | $a=a_{0} t^{\frac{(1-n)(2 n-1)}{n-2}}$ |
| $\mathcal{D}$ | [2(1-n), 2(n-1) ${ }^{2}$, 0] | $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} a=\frac{k t}{2 n^{2}-2 n-1} & \text { if } & k \neq 0 \\ a=a_{0} t & \text { if } & k=0 \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\mathcal{E}$ | $[-1-3 \omega, 0,-1-3 \omega]$ | a $\quad a=a_{0}\left(t-t_{0}\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{F}$ | [1-3 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, $\left.0,2-3 \omega\right]$ | $a=a_{0}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}$ (only for $\left.n=3 / 2\right)$ |
| $\mathcal{G}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} {\left[-\frac{3(n-1)(1+\omega)}{n}, \frac{(n-1)[4 n-3(\omega+1)]}{2 n^{2}}\right.} \\ \frac{n(13+9 \omega)-2 n^{2}(4+3 \omega)-3(1+\omega)}{2 n^{2}} \end{array}\right.$ | $a=a_{0} t^{\frac{2 n}{3(1+\omega)}}$ |



## The dynamical systems approach

$$
x=\frac{\dot{f}^{\prime}}{f^{\prime} H}, \quad y=\frac{R}{6 H^{2}}, \quad z=\frac{f}{6 f^{\prime} H^{2}},
$$

$$
\Omega=\frac{\mu_{m}}{3 f^{\prime} H^{2}}, \quad K=\frac{k}{a^{2} H^{2}}, \quad \mathfrak{q} \equiv\left(\frac{d \log F}{d \log R}\right)^{-1}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{R f^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

Autonomous set of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d x}{d N} & =\varepsilon\left(2 K+2 z-x^{2}+(K+y+1) x\right)+\Omega \varepsilon(-3 w-1)+2 \\
\frac{d y}{d N} & =y \varepsilon(2 y+2 K+x \mathfrak{q}+4) \\
\frac{d z}{d N} & =z \varepsilon(2 K-x+2 y+4)+x \varepsilon y \mathfrak{q} \\
\frac{d \Omega}{d N} & =\Omega \varepsilon(2 K-x+2 y-3 w+1) \\
\frac{d K}{d N} & =K \varepsilon(2 K+2 y+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Constraint $\leadsto 1=-K-x-y+z+\Omega$,

- Carloni, Dunsby Capozziello, Troisi (CQG, 2005)
- Amendola et. al. (PRD, 2007)
- Carloni, Dunsby, Troisi (GRG, 2009)



## Large-scale density perturbations

After some calculation we find that large-scale density perturbations evolve according to the following 3rd order equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-1) \dddot{\Delta}_{m}-(n-1)\left(\frac{4 n \omega}{\omega+1}-5\right) \frac{\ddot{\Delta}_{m}}{t}+\mathcal{D}_{1}(n, w) \frac{\dot{\Delta}_{m}}{t^{2}}+\mathcal{D}_{2}(n, w) \\
& +\mathcal{D}_{3}(n, w) \mathcal{C}_{0} t^{-\frac{4 n}{3(\omega+1)}-1}=0 \\
& \Delta_{m}=K_{1} t^{-1}+K_{2} t^{\alpha+\mid w=0}+K_{3} t^{\alpha-\mid w=0}-K_{4} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{0}}{S_{0}^{2}} t^{2-\frac{4 n}{3}}, \\
& \left.\alpha_{ \pm}\right|_{w=0}=-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n(32 n(8 n-19)+417)-81)}}{6(n-1)}, \\
& \left.K_{4}\right|_{w=0}=\frac{9(n(12 n-31)+18)}{8(4 n-9)\left(12 n^{3}-19 n^{2}-3 n+9\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Large-scale density perturbations

 After some calculation we find that large-scale density perturbations evolve according to the following 3rd order equation:$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \dddot{\Delta}_{m}-(n-1)\left(\frac{4 n \omega}{\omega+1}-5\right) \frac{\ddot{\Delta}_{m}}{t}+\mathcal{D}_{1}(n, w) \frac{\dot{\Delta}_{m}}{t^{2}}+\mathcal{D}_{2}(n, w) \frac{\Delta_{m}}{t^{3}} \\
+\mathcal{D}_{3}(n, w) \mathcal{C}_{0} t^{-\frac{4 n}{3(\omega+1)}-1}=0
\end{aligned} \\
\quad \begin{array}{l}
\downarrow \\
\Delta_{m}
\end{array}=K_{1} t^{-1}+K_{2} t^{\alpha+\left.\right|_{w=0}}+K_{3} t^{\alpha-\left.\right|_{w=0}}-K_{4} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{0}}{S_{0}^{2}} t^{2-\frac{4 n}{3}} \\
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\left.K_{4}\right|_{w=0}=\frac{9(n(12 n-31)+18)}{8(4 n-9)\left(12 n^{3}-19 n^{2}-3 n+9\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$



The long wavelength perturbations grow for all values of $n$, even for an accelerated background!


## The Matter Power Spectrum

An important quantity to characterized the small scale perturbations in the power spectrum

$$
\left\langle\Delta_{m}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}\right) \Delta_{m}\left(\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)\right\rangle=P\left(k_{1}\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}+\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)
$$

This quantity tells us how the fluctuations of matter depend on the wave number at a specific time and carries information on the amplitude of the perturbations on a given scale.

In GR the power spectrum on large scales is constant, while on small scales it is suppressed depending on the nature of the cosmological fluid(s).

The case of pure dust is special: perturbations are scale invariant.

## The Power Spectrum for $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$-gravity $(\mathrm{n}>1)$



On large and small scales the spectrum is scale-invariant.
Oscillations can occur around a specific value of $k$ depending on the parameter " $n$ ".
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## We don't know <br> (yet), BUT....

* The k-structure of the perturbation equations is independent of the theory of gravity,
* The interaction between fourth order gravity and matter is peaked at certain specific scales and becomes k -independent on large and small scales.
* WORK IN PROGRESS with other models.
* PROBLEM: we don't really know much about their background.

IF verified, this result would constitute a clear and relatively easy way to probe fourth order gravity on cosmological scales.

## Extending $1+3$ to $1+1+2$

* $1+3$ spacetime split adopted to perturbations of cosmological backgrounds.
* Many astrophysical systems have high degree of symmetry.
* This suggests further decomposition of the 3 spatial degrees of freedom relative to preferred spatial vector.
* We end up with a " $1+1+2$ " split of spacetime.
* This provides an excellent framework for studying spherically symmetric (SS) spacetimes and their perturbations.
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N_{a}{ }^{b} \equiv h_{a}{ }^{b}-n_{a} n^{b}=g_{a}{ }^{b}+u_{a} u^{b}-n_{a} n^{b}
$$

Decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi^{a}=\Psi n^{a}+\Psi^{a} \\
\left.\psi_{a b}=\psi_{\langle a b\rangle}=\Psi\left(n_{a} n_{b}-\frac{1}{2} N_{a b}\right)+2 \Psi_{(a} n_{b)}+\Psi_{a b}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



## The basic framework

Unit vector $n^{a}, n^{a} n_{a}=1, u^{a} n_{a}=0$,
Projection tensor

$$
N_{a}{ }^{b} \equiv h_{a}^{b}-n_{a} n^{b}=g_{a}^{b}+u_{a} u^{b}-n_{a} n^{b}
$$

Decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi^{a}=\Psi n^{a}+\Psi^{a} \\
\psi_{a b}=\psi_{\langle a b\rangle}=\Psi\left(n_{a} n_{b}-\frac{1}{2} N_{a b}\right)+2 \Psi_{(a} n_{b)}+\Psi_{a b} \\
\text { Derivatives } \\
\hat{\psi}_{a} \equiv n^{e} \tilde{\nabla}_{e} \psi_{a}, \\
\delta_{e} \psi_{a} \equiv N_{a}{ }^{f} N_{e}{ }^{j} \tilde{\nabla}_{j} \psi_{f}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Decomposition of basic quantities

Kinematics of $n^{a}$ :

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{a} n_{b}=n_{a} a_{b}+\frac{1}{2} \phi N_{a b}+\xi \varepsilon_{a b}+\zeta_{a b},
$$

Acceleration, expansion, twist and shear of "sheet"

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{a} & \equiv n^{c} \tilde{\nabla}_{c} n_{a}=\hat{n}_{a} \\
\phi & \equiv \delta_{a} n^{a} \\
\xi & \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{a b} \delta_{a} n_{b} \\
\zeta_{a b} & \equiv \delta_{\{a} n_{b\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Kinematics }\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{u}^{a} & =\mathcal{A} n^{a}+\mathcal{A}^{a}, \\
\omega^{a} & =\Omega n^{a}+\Omega^{a}, \\
\sigma_{a b} & =\Sigma\left(n_{a} n_{b}-\frac{1}{2} N_{a b}\right)+2 \Sigma_{(a} n_{b)}+\Sigma_{a b},
\end{aligned}\right. \\
\text { Weyl }\left\{\begin{aligned}
E_{a b} & =\mathcal{E}\left(n_{a} n_{b}-\frac{1}{2} N_{a b}\right)+2 \mathcal{E}_{(a} n_{b)}+\mathcal{E}_{a b}, \\
H_{a b} & =\mathcal{H}\left(n_{a} n_{b}-\frac{1}{2} N_{a b}\right)+2 \mathcal{H}_{(a} n_{b)}+\mathcal{H}_{a b} .
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



## Decomposition of basic quantities

Kinematics of $n^{a}$ :

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{a} n_{b}=n_{a} a_{b}+\frac{1}{2} \phi N_{a b}+\xi \varepsilon_{a b}+\zeta_{a b},
$$

LRS condition



## Equations for SS spacetimes

Field Equations

$$
\hat{\phi}=-\frac{1}{2} \phi^{2}+\frac{1}{3} R-\frac{2}{3} \frac{f}{f^{\prime}}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} X(\phi+2 \mathcal{A})+A \phi
$$

$$
\hat{\mathcal{A}}=-\mathcal{A}^{2}-\mathcal{A} \phi+\frac{1}{6} \frac{f}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{3} R-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} X \mathcal{A}
$$

$$
\hat{R}=X,
$$

$$
\hat{X}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{R f^{\prime}}{f^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{f}{f^{\prime \prime}}-X \phi-\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime} X^{2}}{f^{\prime \prime}}-X \mathcal{A}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{\prime}=-E^{2} \mathcal{A} \kappa \\
& \text { geodesics }
\end{aligned}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\kappa^{\prime}=E\left(1-\kappa^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \phi-\mathcal{A}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$



## Some general results

Condition for the existence of solutions with constant scalar curvature is:

$$
2 f\left(R_{0}\right)-R_{0} f^{\prime}\left(R_{0}\right)=0 . \quad \text { Barrow and Ottewill (1983) }
$$

Condition for the existence of the Schwarzshild solution is:

$$
f(0) / f^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

This holds for many (but not all) classes of theories.... e.g,

$$
R^{n}, R+\alpha R^{n}, R /(1+A R)
$$

The $1+1+2$ equations can be used to generate exact SS solutions $\rightarrow$ test violation of Birkhoff.

Goswami et al, 2009... on axXiv very soon.


## Gravitational Lensing in $f(R)$ gravity

The $1+1+2$ approach is ideal for studying the lensing problem

$$
n^{a}=\kappa e^{a}+\kappa^{a}
$$

## An example: Clifton's solution

A number of exact solutions exist, e.g., Clifton (2006)

$$
\begin{gathered}
d s^{2}=-A(r) d t^{2}+B(r) d r^{2}+r^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}\right) \\
A(r)=r^{(2 n-2) \frac{(2 n-1)}{(2-n)}}+\frac{C}{r^{\frac{(5-4 n)}{(2-n)}}} \\
\frac{1}{B(r)}=\frac{(2-n)^{2}}{\left(7-10 n+4 n^{2}\right)\left(1+2 n-2 n^{2}\right)}\left(1+\frac{C}{r^{\frac{\left(7-10 n+4 n^{2}\right)}{(2-n)}}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The bending angle can be easily found:
$\alpha=2 \int_{r_{0}}^{r_{*}} L^{-1} \frac{J}{r^{2}}\left[r^{\frac{\left(4 n^{2}-6 n+2\right)}{n-2}}-J^{2}\left(r^{-2}+C r^{\frac{\left(4 n^{2}-12 n+11\right)}{n-2}}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} d r-\pi$


For values of $n$ close to 1 , the bending angle only differs from the GR result by a few percent.


This shows that the bending angle is independent of $r_{*}$


## The Future.

* Extend to multi-fluid systems e.g. CDM, Baryons + Radiation (Abebe \& Abdelwahab),
* Generate spherically symmetric solutions (Goswami et al),
* The evolution of null geodesics in $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity observational relations etc.
* The Newtonian limit and Newtonian perturbation theory
* and much much more if $f(\mathrm{R})$ survives observational scrutiny!!



Please visit..........
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