# Non-Minimal Quintessence with a nearly flat potential

**Sudipta Das** 



Harish Chandra Research Institute Allahabad, India

Collaborators : Anjan A Sen, Gaveshna Gupta

Invisible Universe Conference, Paris July 01, 2009 – p. 1

# Plan of the talk :

- Introduction
  - Dark energy
  - Motivation of this work
    - Non-minimally coupled scalar fields
    - Why flat potential?
- Brief description of the model
- Results
- Conclusions

- We live in an expanding universe.
- 1929: Edwin Hubble shows that the further away the galaxy is, the more rapidly it is moving away from us



 $V = H_0 \times D$ 

where the slope  $H_0$  is the Hubble constant

One can use <u>Einstein's equations</u> to relate the expansion of the universe to spacetime curvature and the energy density.

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

When applied to cosmology, this gives the Friedmann equations :

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{\text{tot}}$$
$$2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\kappa}{a^2} = -8\pi G p_{\text{tot}}$$

where  $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$  is the measure of the expansion rate of the universe.

- The expansion was believed to be decelerated (as gravity is attractive).
- Recent observations reveal something completely different
- Observation : Type Ia SNe appear to be dimmer!
- The universe is accelerating!!<sup>a</sup>
- This acceleration requires a driving agent —> popularly called "dark energy" —> overcomes gravitational attraction and generates acceleration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Riess et al., **116**, 1009 (1998)

9 70% of the total energy density of the universe is made up of *dark energy*.



#### We know much, but understand very little!

- Popular dark energy candidates are -
  - Cosmological constant
  - Quintessence scalar fields
  - Non-minimally coupled scalar fields
  - f(R) gravity models
  - and many others.....

Characterized by equation of state parameter -

$$w_{de} = \frac{p_{de}}{\rho_{de}}$$

• Acceleration requires 
$$w_{tot} < -\frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow w_{de} < -\frac{1}{3}$$
.

- Solution Recent observations suggest that  $w_{de}$  is close to -1.
- Approximate bound is  $-1.1 \le w_{de} \le -0.9$ . <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Davis et al, Astrophys. J. 666, 716 (2007);

Wood-vasey et al, Astrophys. J. 666, 694 (2007)

- If  $w_{de} = -1$ , most reasonable choice is a cosmological constant.
- But if  $w_{de} \approx -1 \Rightarrow$  dynamical dark energy models may be a good option.

Advantage : naturally provide  $w_{de}$ slightly less than -1Dynamical models Disadvantage : scalar fields do not have sound field theoretic background

Non-minimally coupled scalar field comes into action.

# **Slow roll approximation :**

If the potential is nearly flat, the following slow-roll conditions are satisfied ::

$$\left(\frac{1}{V}\frac{dV}{d\phi}\right)^2 << 1$$

$$\frac{1}{V}\frac{d^2V}{d\phi^2} << 1$$

- This ensures that  $w_{\phi} \equiv w_{de}$  never deviates very far from -1.
- With these assumptions, we try to obtain an analytic expression for  $w_{de}$  in non-minimally coupled scalar field case.

# Field equations for the system :

Effective action for Brans-Dicke (BD) theory

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \left[ \psi R - \frac{\omega}{\psi} \psi^{,\mu} \psi_{,\mu} - 2V(\psi) + L_m \right] \,,$$

For a spatially flat FRW universe ::

$$\begin{aligned} 3\frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} &= \frac{\rho_{m}}{\psi} + \frac{\omega}{2}\frac{\dot{\psi}^{2}}{\psi^{2}} - 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\psi} + \frac{V}{\psi} \\ 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} &= -\frac{\omega}{2}\frac{\dot{\psi}^{2}}{\psi^{2}} - \frac{\ddot{\psi}}{\psi} - 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\psi} + \frac{V}{\psi} \\ \ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} &= \frac{\rho_{m}}{2\omega+3} + \frac{1}{2\omega+3}\left[4V - 2\psi\frac{dV}{d\psi}\right] \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \dot{\rho_{m}} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\rho_{m} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Field equations in Einstein's frame

We now make a conformal transformation

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\zeta}}} g_{\mu\nu} \qquad \left(\zeta = \frac{2\omega+3}{2} \text{ and } \ln\psi = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\right)$$
  
such that  $dt = e^{-\frac{\phi}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}} \bar{d}t$  and  $a = e^{-\frac{\phi}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}} \bar{a}$ .

The corresponding equations in the new frame look like

$$\begin{aligned} 3\bar{H}^{2} &= \bar{\rho}_{m} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} + \bar{V} \\ 2\dot{\bar{H}} + 3\bar{H}^{2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} + \bar{V} \\ \ddot{\phi} + 3\bar{H}\dot{\phi} &= \frac{\bar{\rho}_{m}}{2\sqrt{\zeta}} - \frac{d\bar{V}}{d\phi} \\ \dot{\bar{\rho}}_{m} + 3\bar{H}\bar{\rho}_{m} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}W\dot{\phi}\bar{\rho}_{m} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \begin{pmatrix} W &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\zeta}} \\ \bar{\rho}_{m} &= e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{\zeta}}}\rho_{m} \\ \bar{V} &= e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{\zeta}}}V \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be written in the form of a plane autonomous system by introducing variables ::

• 
$$x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}\bar{H}}$$
  
•  $y = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{V}}}{\sqrt{3}\bar{H}}$   
•  $\lambda = -\frac{1}{\bar{V}}\frac{d\bar{V}}{d\phi}$ 

These imply ::

• 
$$\bar{\Omega}_{\phi} = x^2 + y^2$$
  
•  $\gamma \equiv 1 + w_{\phi} = \frac{2x^2}{x^2 + y^2}$ 

In terms of the new variables, the field equations become ::

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= -3x + \lambda \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}y^2 + \frac{3}{2}x(1+x^2-y^2) \\ &+ W(1-x^2-y^2) \\ y' &= -\lambda \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}xy + \frac{3}{2}y(1+x^2-y^2) \\ \lambda' &= -\sqrt{6}\lambda^2(\Gamma-1)x \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} \Gamma &= \frac{\bar{V}\frac{d^2\bar{V}}{d\phi^2}}{\left(\frac{d\bar{V}}{d\phi}\right)^2} \\ x' &\equiv \frac{dx}{d\ln a} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

• Changing the dependent variables from x and y to the observable quantities  $\Omega_{\phi}$  and  $\gamma$  ::

$$\Omega_{\phi}' = 3(1 - \Omega_{\phi}) \left[ (1 - \gamma)\Omega_{\phi} + \frac{W}{3}\sqrt{2\gamma\Omega_{\phi}} \right]$$

$$\gamma' = -3\gamma(2-\gamma) + \lambda(2-\gamma)\sqrt{3\gamma\Omega_{\phi}} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{\Omega_{\phi}}}W(1-\Omega_{\phi})(2-\gamma)$$

$$\lambda' = -\sqrt{3}\lambda^2(\Gamma - 1)\sqrt{\gamma\Omega_{\phi}}$$

From these one arrives at :

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\Omega_{\phi}} = \frac{-3\gamma(2-\gamma) + \lambda(2-\gamma)\sqrt{3\gamma\Omega_{\phi}}}{3(1-\gamma)(1-\Omega_{\phi})\left[\Omega_{\phi} + \frac{W}{3}\sqrt{2\gamma\Omega_{\phi}}(1+\gamma)\right]} \\ + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{\Omega_{\phi}}}W(1-\Omega_{\phi})(2-\gamma)}{3(1-\gamma)(1-\Omega_{\phi})\left[\Omega_{\phi} + \frac{W}{3}\sqrt{2\gamma\Omega_{\phi}}(1+\gamma)\right]}$$

At this point, we make two assumptions ::

•  $\gamma << 1 \implies w_{\phi}$  is very close to -1

The potential is nearly flat, i.e, slow-roll conditions

$$\left(\frac{1}{V}\frac{dV}{d\phi}\right)^2 << 1$$

$$\frac{1}{V}\frac{d^2V}{d\phi^2} << 1$$

are satisfied.

• This implies,  $\lambda$  is approximately constant ( $\lambda = \lambda_0$ ).

### Plot of $\lambda$ vs. a for W = 0.05 :



- The lower set is for  $\lambda_0 = 0.1$  and the upper set is for  $\lambda_0 = 0.3$
- In each case upper line is for  $V(\phi) = \phi^2$  and lower line is for  $V(\phi) = \phi^{-2}$

Taking  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  and retaining terms only upto lowest order in  $\gamma$ , we get ::

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\Omega_{\phi}} = \frac{-2\gamma}{\Omega_{\phi}(1-\Omega_{\phi})} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\lambda_0 \sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\Omega_{\phi}}(1-\Omega_{\phi})} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{W\sqrt{\gamma}}{\Omega_{\phi}^{3/2}}$$

Solving this equation, we get the expression for the equation of state parameter as ::

$$1 + \omega_{\phi} = \left[\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{3\Omega_{\phi}}} - \left(\frac{1}{\Omega_{\phi}} - 1\right)\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}W}{3}\right) + \log\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\Omega_{\phi}}}{1 - \sqrt{\Omega_{\phi}}}\right) - \alpha\right]\right]^{2}$$

### **Plot of** $w_{\phi}$ **vs.** $\Omega_{\phi}$ **for different potentials :**



- The three sets are for  $\lambda_0 = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$  from bottom to top (W = 0.05)
- ✓ For each set, top line is for  $V(\phi) = \phi^2$ , middle line is for analytical expression and bottom line is for  $V(\phi) = \phi^{-2}$

### **Plot of** $w_{\phi}$ **vs.** $\Omega_{\phi}$ **for different potentials :**



- The three sets are for W = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 from bottom to top ( $\lambda_0 = 0.3$ )
- ✓ For each set, top line is for  $V(\phi) = \phi^2$ , middle line is for analytical expression and bottom line is for  $V(\phi) = \phi^{-2}$

#### **Plot of** $\Omega_{\phi}$ **vs.** *a* **for different potentials :**

- We solve for  $\Omega_{\phi}(a)$  which in turn gives  $w_{\phi}(a)$ .
- This is essential for confronting the model with any observational result.



• Plot of  $\Omega_{\phi}$  vs a for  $\lambda_0 = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_{\phi 0} = 0.7$  and W = 0.1.

# **Observational Constraints :**



Dashed lines are for SNIa data and red lines are for SNIa + BAO data.

# **Conclusions :**

- We have studied the dark energy models in Brans-Dicke theory.
- We show that various scalar field potentials satisfying the slow-roll conditions actually converge to a universal behaviour.
- Solution We have obtained a general form of  $w_{\phi}$  in the BD theory.
- The evolution of the universe is insensitive to the form of the potential.
- Solve Solve
- BAO data put a strong upper bound on  $w_{\phi}$  irrespective of the value of W.

# **Thank You**